# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>Z 4 P 60 WO</b>	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.	International filing date (day/mo	nth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/EP2004/014214		27.02.2004					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC  C08G63/78 B01D5/00							
Applicant  ZIMMER AKTIENGESE	LLSCHAFT						
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a to	otal ofs	neets, including this cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompani-	ed by ANNEXES, comprising:						
a I sent to the applic	ant and to the International Rureau) a tot	al of sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental							
Box.							
b (sent to the Intern	ational Bureau only) a total of (indicate ty	pe and number of electronic carrier(s))					
		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables					
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indicatio	ns relating to the following items:						
Box No. I Bas							
Box No. II Pric	prity						
Box No. III Noi	n-establishment of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lac	k of unity of invention						
DOX 1.0. 1							
Box No. VI Cer							
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand	Date of co	empletion of this report					
Name and mailing address of the IDE A	/FD	Authorized office					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	Authorize	Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.	Telenhon	Telephone No.					

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014214

Box	No. I	Basis of the report						
l.	•	to the language, this report is based on the internation or the internation of the intern	nal application in the language in which i	t was filed, unless otherwise				
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:							
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))						
	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)							
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/						
2.	receiving O this report)	I to the elements of the international application, this in the international application, this internation in the internation in the internation is the international application as originally filed/furnished						
	the de	escription:						
ļ	pages	1-9	$\widehat{}$	as originally filed/furnished				
	pages		received by this Authority on					
	pages		received by this Authority on					
	the cl	aims:						
	nos.	1-25		_ as originally filed/furnished				
	nos.*		as amended (together with a	any statement) under Article 19				
	nos.*		received by this Authority on					
	nos.*		received by this Authority on					
	the da	rawings:						
	sheet	s <u>1-3</u>		as originally filed/furnished				
	sheet	s*	received by this Authority on					
ļ	sheet	s*	received by this Authority on					
	a seo	uence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem						
			emai Box Relating to dequence Blang.					
3.	The a	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		<del></del>				
4.		report has been established as if (some of) the amend have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
	the drawings, sheets/figs							
		the sequence listing (specify):		•				
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):							
*	If item 4 as	oplies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup						

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014214

Statemen	
Novel	ty (N) Claims 1-25
	Claims
Invent	tive step(IS) Claims see item 2 below.
	Claims Claims Claims
	•
Indust	rial applicability (IA) Claims 1-25
	Claims
Citations	and explanations (Rule 70.7)
Pofo	rence is made to the following documents:
D1:	
DT.	
	ROHRLEITUNGSBAU; CHATILLON S.A. ITALIANA PER) 5 February 1970 (1970-02-05)
D2:	
DZ.	(1957-05-21)
D3:	
20,	London, GB; AN 2000-344948 XP002320337 & JP 2000
	109550 A (NIPPON ESTER CO) 18 April 2000 (2000-04-
	18)
D4:	
	WOLFGANG, DD; LANGNER, SIEGFRIED, DD) 17 February
	1982 (1982-02-17)
D5:	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 010, no. 063 (C-
•	332), 13 March 1986 (1986-03-13) & JP 60 202121 A
	(HITACHI SEISAKUSHO KK; others: 01), 12 October
	1985 (1985-10-12)

## 1. Novelty

# 1.1. Method

The prior art does not disclose a method in which diol-containing vapours treated according to the preamble of the current claim 1 are precipitated by means of spray nozzles at the edges which are arranged on a number of levels lying one above the other in the top region.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1.2. The same applies to the device according to claim 7, according to which the spray nozzles of different levels are also fitted in an offset manner.
  - The subject matter of the independent claims is therefore novel.
- 2. Inventive step
- 2.1. Document D2 is considered to be the closest prior art, since a method according to the preamble of claim 1 is carried out and this takes place in a spray condenser which is identical to the directto the according contact condenser used application. The glycol is precipitated in the top region of the condenser by at least 4 spray nozzles condenser. Moreover, D2 likewise the in is avoidance with the of troublesome concerned deposits on the condenser walls.
- 2.2. The subject matter of the application according to claim 1 differs from this prior art in that
  - a) the nozzles are arranged in the top region in at least two levels lying one above the other,
  - b) circulated, cooled diol is sprayed without the addition of alkaline saponifying agents.
- 2.3. Since no technical effect in comparison with D2 has been demonstrated, it must be assumed that a further method (by which deposits are removed better, not as well or equally well) is provided, a method which (obviously) has the advantage of avoiding the alkaline compounds (and resultant problems).
  - It is plausible that, with a corresponding advantageous refinement (known to a person skilled in the art) of the method (sufficient nozzles, arrangement, spray cone geometry), satisfactory results can be achieved.

Therefore, a method which removes the contaminants

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- in a purely mechanical way is provided as an alternative to D2.
- 2.4. Since, as stated above, it appears to a person skilled in the art that the method successfully carried out, it seems at the same time The fact that a number of nozzles to be obvious. create redundancy (if the spray patterns overlap), with a positive effect should a nozzle fail, and that the spraying provides surface coverage (given appropriate arrangement of the nozzles and angles dispersion) does not really appear unforeseeable. It would only really be unforeseeable if (given the same number of nozzles and angles of dispersion) the method applied led to the same result as the prior art or even to a still better result than the prior art (D2 or D3-D5).

However, according to the prior art found by the search, which is concerned with the removal of deposits from condenser walls, other measures are taken: instead of the nozzles at the edge, sprays similar to shower heads are fitted in the middle of the cross section of the condenser tube (D3-D5); furthermore, the configuration of the directcontact condenser is different (introduction of vapours not in the top region or in countercurrent, internals), so that it is questionable whether a would install the person skilled in the art cleaning systems mentioned in these documents in the condenser known from D2 at all.

2.5. According to claim 7, a device for carrying out the aforementioned method is claimed; apart from the aforementioned feature a), the nozzles are also arranged offset. With respect to the problem solved, essentially the same argumentation as under item 2.3. above applies.

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014214

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	However,	these	measures	are	not	suggested	by	the
	prior art	t found	•					
•								
-						•		
			•					
				•			•	
					٠			
	·							
						•		